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Introduction

During the Kabo Reform period, the tax system was reformed as a measure to increase the national budget and to stabilize the livelihood of the general public. In the process of collecting taxes, harmful practices, such as excessive demands and exploitation of commoners by local magistrates (suryŏng) and the isŏ class (composed of hyangni, local functionaries, and sŏri, petty clerks), surfaced as problems. Therefore, the government aimed to exclude the isŏ class from the tax collection process and to separate the tasks of tax imposition and collection.

The Korean government during the Kabo Reform period deprived local magistrates and the isŏ class of the right to collect taxes and appointed tax officers to handle tax related matters. However, this endeavor soon foundered due to the opposition of the yangban class and resistance from the isŏ class, which lost its economic base as a result.1 After the so-called
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The Ulmi Incident (the assassination of Empress Myŏngsŏng by the Japanese), the tax imposition and collection system was reverted back to the form it had been prior to the Kabo Reforms, and local magistrates and the isŏ class once again took charge of levying and collecting taxes.

Understanding the isŏ class’s exploitation of commoners in the tax collection process as a problem, Yu Kilchun pursued tax reform of the Chosŏn government during the Kabo Reforms. In October 1895, as the Minister of Internal Affairs (naemu taesin), he requested the discussion and the majority vote on the “Provision on hyanghoe (district assemblies)” and “Regulations on the management of the Community Compact System (hyangyak)” to systematize hyanghoe and to discuss tax-related matters at hyanghoe. However, with the local magistrates and the isŏ class once again in charge of the tax administration, such an attempt faced limitations. Eventually, Korea became subject to the local administration reform under Japanese colonial rule, with the right to collect taxes still in the hands of the local magistrates and the isŏ class.

Yu Kilchun’s “Semubu (Tax Department)” is a document on taxation created after the failed attempt to revoke the right to collect taxes from local magistrates and the isŏ class during the Kabo Reforms. Existing studies on Yu’s concept of the system of public finance have used Sŏyu kyŏnmun (1895, Observations on travels in the West) and “Chijeŭi” (1891, Viwes on the land system), “Sejeŭi” (1891, Opinions on the tax system), and “Chaejŏng kaehyŏk” (year unknown, Financial reform), which are essays included in the “Kyŏngje kachyŏngnon (Theories of economic reform)” section in Yu Kilchun chŏnsŏ 4 (Collected works of Yu Kilchun).² Using the above sources, studies have noted that Yu argued for tax reform centered on land tax reform, which was modelled on the land tax reform (J. chiso kaisei) of the Meiji government. Yu’s tax reform was considered a “landlord system-based reform,” as he attempted to resolve socioeconomic contradictions through tax reform while maintaining the landlord system.³ The sources and documents mentioned above were

² Yu Kilchun chŏnsŏ p’yŏnch’ an wiwŏnhoe p’yŏn, 1971, Iljogak.
also used in studies that analyzed Yu’s economic reform ideas as representative of a modern Korean intellectual, as well as studies on the land tax reform theory of the Enlightenment Party (kaehwap’a) in 1894. Most of these studies also concluded that Yu’s theory on economic reform was conceived from the perspective of landlords. Recently, a study revealed that the key to Yu’s financial reform theory was the theory of increasing taxes, which was a break from the traditional concept on financial affairs.

Previous studies on Yu’s financial reform and tax reform have focused on the period before the Kabo Reform; these studies mainly discussed the national land system and the central tax system, since the only available sources were the ones listed above.

However, considering that Yu personally participated in the Kabo Reforms as the vice-minister, and later minister, of Internal Affairs and lived in Japan for 12 years afterwards in exile, continuing the discourse only with sources from before the Kabo Reforms has many limitations and leaves much to be desired. In comparison, “Semubu (Tax Department),” a

3 Kim Yongsop, 1974, “Kapsin, Kabo kaehyökkì kaehwap’a úi nongömnnon” (The theory of agriculture of the Enlightenment Party during the Kapsin and Kabo Reforms period), Tongbang Hakji (The Journal of Korean Studies) 15; Kim Yongsop, 1988, “Kǔndaehwa kwajöng esõi nongõp kaehyök úi tu panghyang” (Two directions of the agricultural reform in the process of modernizations), Han’guk chabonjuùi sönggyõk nonjaeng (Debate on the nature of Korean capitalism), Taewangsa.


5 Yang Jinah, 2014, “Kapsin chôngbyön ihu Yu Kilchun úi chajöng kaehyöngnon” (Yu Kilchun’s financial reform theory after the Kapsin Coup). Han’guksa Hakbo 57.
newly discovered source, was written in the Taehan Empire period, and it addresses tax systems in regions outside of the capital city. Therefore, we expect that this document will provide an important opportunity for improving and enhancing the discourse that began in previous studies.

“Semubu” is one of the documents that was donated by Yu’s descendants to the Korea University Museum. Written in mixed Korean and Chinese script, the document is made up of 65 pages and 9,236 characters. With the exception of empty pages, 22 pages consist only of sentences, while 25 pages contain tables. The whole document is equal to the amount of 34 pages of 200-character squared manuscript paper. “Sejeŭi (1891, Opinions on tax reform),” authored by Yu in 1891 on a similar topic, was completely written in Chinese characters and consisted of 3,451

Figure 1. Pages of the draft of Semubu

---

6 The document not only uses words but also tables for explanation. Some of the words have been crossed out, blotted, or rewritten. On the bottom center of the page are Chinese characters for “panjungdangp’an.”
characters on a total of 18 pages, equivalent to 19 pages of 200-character squared manuscript paper.

“Semubu” was written on ruled “panjungdangp’an” manuscript paper with 12 vertical lines per page. Underlined texts as well as parts that have been blotted out and revised seem to suggest that this is a draft. An analysis of the handwriting suggests that it was written by Yu, as the style of handwriting is the same as that of “Sejeŭi,” which had been written in polished Chinese characters without revisions.

Only the word “Semubu (稅務部)” is found on the cover of the document. On the next page, the word “semu (taxation, 稅務)” is written on the first line. As stated on the cover page, it seems appropriate to consider “Semubu” as the title of this document.

Figure 2. Comparison of the handwriting in Semubu and Sejeŭi (1891)⁷

⁷ The document on the left is “Semubu”, and the one on the right is Sejeŭi.
Although the year the document was written is not specified in the work, “the first year of the Kwangmu Era (1897)” and “the second year of the Kwangmu Era (1898)” were written on the sources, such as “tax statement,” which appear as examples in “Semubu.” Therefore, it seems safe to assume that Yu Kilchun wrote this draft of “Semubu” during Emperor Kojong’s reign in the Korean Empire. During the Kwangmu Era (August 1897 to September 1907), Yu was exiled in Japan. With the collapse of the Kim Hongchip cabinet after Emperor Kojong’s flight to the Russian Legation in February 1907, Yu left for Japan on exile and was only able to return to Korea after the enthronement of King Sunjong in July 1907. Judging by Yu Kilchun’s position on taxation during the Kabo Reforms and the time “Semubu” was written, it seems safe to assume that this paper was written from a perspective, critical of the reactionary trend in the tax system of the Korean Empire.

This study attempts to introduce and analyze the content of the newly

---

8 The one on the right is the cover page, and left is the first page of the text.
discovered “Semubu.” “Semubu” is largely divided into three parts: one, reasons for the necessity of tax collection; two, types of taxes; and three, tax collection methods. However, instead of delving into each of the three parts in detail, this paper will analyze “Semubu” as a whole, focusing on the characteristic discussions in this document.

**Reorganization of Local Administrative Districts**

The “Issue on the establishment of hyanghoe (district assemblies),” which was discussed by the kun’guk kimuch’ô (Deliberative Council) on July 12, 1894, is speculated to have been drafted by Yu Kilchun. The issue called for hyangwôn, or representatives of each myôn (township) and members of district assembly, to make collective decisions on the continuation or suspension of township-level activities, such as the enactment of legislation and the rectification of various evils of convention. Previous studies have understood this document as an attempt to prevent the ruling class’s exploitation of commoners by involving the local people in politics, a part of the movement to establish regional assemblies, or an attempt to institutionalize hyanghoe. Hyanghoe appears again in the “Regulations on the payment of the land and poll tax (Kyŏlhojŏn

9 Kim T’aeung, 1997, “Kûndae Chungguk, Ilbon ŭi chibang chach’iron kwa Hanmal ŭi chibang chach’i munje” (The theory of local autonomy in modern China and Japan and the issue of modern autonomy in the late Korean Empire period), Yŏksa Kyoyuk 64, 47, footnote 52.
11 Chŏng Ch’angryŏl, 1982, “Hanmal pyŏnhyŏk undong ŭi chŏngch’i, kyŏngjejŏk sŏnggyŏk” (The political and economic nature of the reform movement in the late Korean Empire period), Han’guk minjokjuuіnon (Theory of Korean nationalism), Ch’angjakgwa Pip’yŏngsa.
pongnap changjông),” which grants *hyangwŏn* the right to taxation, instead of local magistrates and the *isŏ* class, and specifies that *hyangwŏn* should collect and remit land and poll tax (*kyŏlhojŏn*). This was considered an attempt to increase the national budget by inducing the participation of representatives elected by popular vote in tax administration to reduce harmful practices and remove exploitations of the middlemen.13

In April 1895, the Kim Hongchip–Park Yŏnghyo cabinet announced the Legislation on the Office of Internal Revenue and the Office of Taxation (*Kwansesa kŭp chingsesŏ kwanje*),” “Regulations on Town Tax Agency (*Kakŭp puseso changjông*),” and “Regulations on Income (*Suip kyujŏng*)” to eliminate the role of *hyanghoe* in the process of tax collection and established separate organs for tax collection. The Office of Internal Revenue (*kwansesa*) was installed in each province, and the Office of Taxation (*chingsesŏ*) was established in each county and district (Tax Agency in each town) to handle tax related matters instead of local magistrates and officials. Compared to the Deliberative Council’s measures, this is understood as a reorganization of the tax system that only took into consideration the state’s position, incited by Japanese militarists, and dismissed the participation of the people.14

*Hyanghoe* appears again in October 1895, in the “Provision on *hyanghoe*” and “Regulations on the Management of the Community Compact System” announced by the fourth Kim Hongchip cabinet. These had been brought to the discussion table by Yu Kilchun as the Minister of Internal Affairs. The “Provision on *hyanghoe*” and “Regulations on the management of the Community Compact System” stated that *hyanghoe* should consist of *rihoe* (village assemblies), *myŏnhoe* (township assemblies), and *kunhoe* (county assemblies), and that *hyanghoe* should discuss all affairs within their jurisdiction including taxation and put them to majority vote. It was rather significant that *hyanghoe* were composed of people regardless of their class. However, as members of *hyanghoe* only

14 Ibid., 83.
played the role of supporting the government and were restricted from participating in politics, *hyanghoe* was different from a modern assembly.¹⁵

Yu Kilchun maintained similar ideas on *hyanghoe* during the period Korea was governed by the Deliberative Council and the period when Korea was under the fourth Kim Hongchip cabinet, in that Yu wanted to systematize *hyanghoe* and incorporate it into the government administration. “Semubu” also contains Yu’s ideas of *hyanghoe* in the form of *chuhoe* (state assemblies) and *hyanghoe*. Yu explained *chuhoe* as a state-level assembly, and *hyanghoe* as a district-level assembly, under the premise that administrative districts were divided into *chu* and *hyang*, which were nonexistent at the time.

Administrative divisions in Korea during the Chosŏn dynasty consisted of 8 *to* (province), 5 *pu*, 5 *taedohobu*, 20 *mok*, 75 *tohobu*, 77 *kun*, and 148 *hyŏn*, which were all under the control of the regional government officials who had been appointed by the central government. *Kun* and *hyŏn* (county-level divisions) were divided into smaller units, *myŏn* and *ri*. While government officials were not dispatched to oversee *myŏn* and *ri*, they were under indirect control of regional government officials as collective units of taxation.

During the Kabo Reforms in May 1895, however, eight *to* were subdivided into 23 *pu*, and *kun* and *hyŏn* simply became *kun*, resulting in the administrative divisions of 23 *pu* and 337 *kun*. This was changed again in August 1896, where 23 *pu* were redivided into 13 *to*, each of which consisted of 8 *pu*, 1 *mok*, and 332 *kun*. Although the system seems to have reverted back to the time prior to the Kabo Reforms, the fact that 8 *to* were redivided into 13 and that *kun* and *hyŏn* were all simplified into *kun*

suggests that the concept of administrative division during the Kabo Reforms was retained during this time.\textsuperscript{16}

According to “Semubu,” it seems that Yu Kilchun wanted to reorganize the local government system that had been established in 1896:

\ldots therefore, there is one \textit{hyang}, called P’ungnak-hyang, which consists of 12 \textit{li}—Kasŏng, Insu, Taejŏng, Chŏnghŭi, Changhang, Piripshin-ri, Samsu, Changch’ŭn, Haechŏn, and P’yŏngch’ŏn. P’ungnak-hyang belongs to Kwangnŭng-gun, which is under the jurisdiction of Hannam-ju (주)\ldots\textsuperscript{17}

As seen in the above excerpt, there were 10 \textit{ri}—Kasŏng-ri, Insu, Taejŏng-ri, Chŏnghŭi-ri, Changhang-ri, Piripshin-ri, Samsu-ri, Changch’ŭn-ri, Haechŏn-ri, and P’yŏngch’ŏn-ri—in P’unghan-kyŏng. There are no explanations as to the actual location of the areas Yu proposed in this document, and these are names that were neither in use during Yu Kilchun’s lifetime nor in the present day. However, there are clues that hint to where these names came from. Hannam was a different name for Suwon in Kyŏnggi-do. Han-ju was also an old name for Kwangju as well as the name of one of the nine \textit{chu} and five \textit{sogyŏng} (cities) in Shilla. Kwangnŭng was also a different name for Kwangju, Kyŏnggi-do. From this, we can speculate that Kwangnŭng-gun, Hannam-ju, is approximately present-day Kwangju in the Kyŏnggi-do area.\textsuperscript{18}

\begin{itemize}
\item[\textsuperscript{16}] Yun Chŏngae, 1985, “\textit{Hanmal chibangjedo kaehyŏk ūi yŏn’gu’}” (Study on the local government system reform in the late Korean Empire period). \textit{Yŏksas Hakbo} 105, 95.
\item[\textsuperscript{17}] Yu Kilchun, “Semubu” (Tax Department), 9.
\item[\textsuperscript{18}] Han’guk yŏksa chimyŏng sajŏn p’yŏnch’an wiwŏnhoe, 2008, \textit{Han’guk yŏksa chimyŏng sajŏn} (Dictionary of Place Names in Korean History). Yŏgang Ch’ulp’ansa. Kwangju, Kyŏnggi-do, was the place where Yu Kilchun’s family burial ground was located, and it was also where Yu Kilchun spent three years in exile after the Foreign Disturbance of 1866 (Pyŏngin Yangyo). Hanam, Kyŏnggi-do,
Above all, the most important idea from the excerpt above was that Yu wanted to reorganize Korea’s administrative divisions into chu, kun, hyang, and ri. It was an attempt to change the to (province) into chu (state), unify the kun and hyŏn (county-level administrative divisions) into kun (county), and rename myŏn (town) and ri (village), which were small autonomous administrative units under kun, into hyang (district) and ri.

Figure 4. Administrative Divisions Before and After the Kabo Reform and Yu Kilchun’s Concept of Administrative Divisions

Prior to the Kabo Reform

- To (do) 道
  - Pu (bu) 府
    - Taedohobu 大都護府
    - Mok 牧
    - Tohobu 都護府
    - Kun (gun) 郡
      - Hyŏn 縣
        - Myŏn 面
          - Ri 里

Reorganized in May 1895

- Pu (bu) 府
  - Kun (gun) 郡
    - Myŏn 面
      - Ri 里

Reorganized in August 1896

- To (do) 道
  - Pu (bu) 府
  - Mok 牧
  - Kun (gun) 郡
    - Myŏn 面
      - Ri 里

Yu Kilchun’s conception

- Chu (ju) 州
  - Kun (gun) 郡
    - Hyang 縣
      - Ri 里

where Yu Kilchun’s mother is buried, was part of Kwangju up until 1989.

19 Gray areas refer to government administration units areas included in direct government management system.
Yu Kilchun’s reform idea was in line with the trend of administrative division reform, in terms of redividing broad, provincial-level units and unifying smaller county-level units as *kun*.

One noteworthy point is that Yu used “chu” as a provincial-level unit of administrative divisions. *Chu* had been used in Unified Shilla, when the whole country was divided into nine *chu* and five *sogyoong*, and, before that, in ancient China. The *Yu Gong* (Tribute of Yu), a chapter in the Xiashu (Book of the Xia dynasty) section of *Shujing*, the Book of Documents, records that when Yu ruled China, he had divided the whole nation into nine *chu* (州 C. zhou)—Yuzhou, Jizhou, Yanzhou Qingzhou, Xu-zhou, Yangzhou, Jingzhou, Liangzhou, and Yongzhou. Based on this, China was often referred to as “Ku zhou,” meaning nine provinces. During the Han dynasty, all of China was divided into 13 *zhou*, which was divided into *kun* (郡 C. jun), which was divided into *hyon* (縣 C. xian).

In *Sōyu kyōnmun*, Yu had already translated the American administrative divisional unit of the “state” and the British administrative division unit of “county” into *chu* (ju). For instance, Massachusetts, US, was translated as Masa-ju (磨沙州); Lancashire, UK, was Ranjusa-ju (蘭柱沙州). By applying *chu*, a unit of local government used in ancient China, to Korea as well as to regions in the US and UK, Yu might have intended to create an equal and uniform administrative divisional system for the world.

Renaming *myon* and *ri* into *hyang* and *ri* is an idea that also appears in *Pan’gyesurok* (Records of Pan’gye Yu Hyōngwŏn), written by Yu Hyōngwŏn (1622–1673). Referring to the ancient Chinese administrative division system, Yu Hyōngwŏn had also conceived of the *hyang-ri* system, instead of the existing *myon-ri* system. Myon was not an adminis-

---

21 O, Yŏnggyo, 1994, “Pan’gye Yu Hyōngwŏn ui chibangjedo kaehyŏngnon yŏn’gu” (Study on Pan’gye Yu Hyōngwŏn’s theory of local government system reform), *Kuksagwan Nonch’ong* 57.
trative unit that was under direct government control, but *hyang* was to be a direct production and governing unit with its territory designated by the central government and ruled by *hyangjŏng*, an appointed government official.

Yu Hyŏngwŏn’s *hyang-ri* system and Yu Kilchun’s *hyang-ri* system are similar in that both wanted to incorporate low-level administrative divisional units that had not been under direct government control into a governing unit. In the Chosŏn dynasty as well as during the regional government system reform period of 1895 and 1896, *myŏn* was not considered a governing unit. Only when Korea became a Japanese protectorate, *myŏn* became recognized as a regional administrative unit and came under direct government supervision in 1917 with Japan’s promulgation of the *myŏn* system. But Yu Kilchun had conceived of a reform of administrative divisional units, where the *myŏn* becomes a governing unit, even earlier. Since Yu Kilchun mentioned Yu Hyŏngwŏn in *Chijeūi* in 1891, it is possible that he consulted Yu Hyŏngwŏn’s idea of the *hyang-ri* system.22

**Establishment of Local Assemblies and Local Taxes**

Yu Kilchun argued for the necessity of *chu* tax for the operation of a *chu* and of *hyang* tax for the operation of *hyang*, and proposed the implementation of local taxes along with the reorganization of administrative division into the *chu-kun-hyang-ri* system. Specifically, he proposed that national taxes should consist of land tax, business tax, and income tax; *chu* taxes should consist of added land tax, added business tax, and

---

22 In “Chijeūi,” Yu Kilchun criticized the Korean *kyŏl-bu* system (*kyŏlbujje*, a Korean system of unit of areal measurements), and introduced the Chinese *kyŏng-myŏ* measurements from *Pan’gyesurok*, written by Yu Hyŏngwŏn. Kim Hyŏnggŭn, trans., 2015, “Chijeūi,” Kŭndae Han’guk úi kaehyŏk kusang kwa Yu Kilchun (The road map for reform in modern Korea and Yu Kilchun), Koryŏ Taehakk’yo Ch’ulp’’an Munhwawŏn, 376–378.
household tax; and *hyang* taxes should consist of supplementary land tax, supplementary business tax, and supplementary income tax.

As suggested by the names of *chu* tax and *hyang* tax, each of local taxes was levied as a surtax on national taxes. Specifically, added land tax was to be “from 15 *pun* to 20 *pun* of the national tax,” while added business tax was to be “from 7 *pun* to 15 *pun* of the national tax.”

This meant the amount of added land tax could be set from 15 to 20 percent of the national land tax, and added business tax from 7 to 15 percent of the national business tax. Similarly, *hyang* taxes were to be “from 10 *pun* to 20 *pun*” regardless of the tax category, which meant that the supplementary land tax and supplementary business tax could be set from 10 to 20 percent of added land tax and added business tax, respectively.

Table 1. Yu Kilchun’s Concept of National Taxes, Chu Taxes, and Hyang Taxes in “Semubu”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Calculation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National taxes</td>
<td>Land tax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Business tax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Income tax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chu taxes</td>
<td>Added land tax</td>
<td>From 15 to 20 percent of the land tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Added business tax</td>
<td>From 7 to 10 percent of business tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Household tax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyang taxes</td>
<td>Supplementary land tax</td>
<td>From 10 to 20 percent of added land tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplementary business tax</td>
<td>From 10 to 20 percent of added business tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplementary income tax</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

24 Ibid., 51.
Exploitation occurred in the tax collection system where local magistrates and the isŏ class were in charge of collecting taxes, mainly because of the lack of finances in local governments and because the isŏ class did not receive official pay from the government before the Kabo Reforms. Moreover, all the expenses required for tax collection needed to be raised by local government offices.

The members the Enlightenment Party (kaehwap’a) had designed a plan to introduce a local tax system, but it was not implemented in reality. Instead, part of the land and poll tax was designated as expenses for kun, but it was not enough to cover the demands of all local government offices, and most of it was used to pay wages to government office employees. Moreover, local governments had to send finances to the central government, which further reduced their own revenue, and the burden of a lack of finances for local governments was shifted to the general public, who were inevitably exploited in the process of tax collection. Therefore the implementation of local taxes was meaningful in that they were separate from national taxes and legally guaranteed stable finances for local governments.

Yu Kilchun seems to have planned to collect local taxes as surtax on national tax because he could not find different sources of taxation for local taxes. Since local taxes were to be charged as surtaxes, it would

28 Local taxes were first implemented in Korea through the “Local Tax Regulations (chibangse kyuch’ik)” in 1907. Following the failure of these regulations, local taxes were implemented again through the “Local Expenditure Law (chibang-bibŏp)” in 1909 (Kim, T’aeung, 1991, “1894–1910 nyŏn chibang seje,” 125). Considering that the purpose of the implementation of local taxes was to raise funds for the Japanese Residency-General of Korea’s colonization project, Yu Kilchun’s concept of local taxes is significant.
have been greatly influenced by the fluctuations in national taxes.

Fundamentally, however, it was very likely for the taxpayers to understand local taxes as an increase in national taxes, even more so because local taxes were to be levied as surtaxes. As a result, the establishment of local taxes was likely to see resistance.29

The resistance against taxation caused by the implementation of local taxes was to be resolved through the creation of local assemblies. Yu Kilchun conceived the implementation of local taxes along with the establishment of local assemblies—chuhoe and hyanghoe.

Chu taxes are levied for the administrative management of each chu. Residents of each chu are responsible for chu taxes. Therefore, chu taxes are designed to serve a specific purpose and separated from national taxes. If the tasks of imposing and collecting chu taxes are delegated to provincial governors, and the problems of exploitation surface, there is no way to eliminate the problems. To prevent exploitation, chuhoe are established, and members of chuhoe are elected according to the election law from among the residents of each kun within the chu. Every winter, the members of chuhoe gather at the chu office to discuss and set the annual budget and expenses for the next year. The members decide on the amount of chu taxes to be levied, depending on the level of the burden of administrative tasks involved. The budget proposal should be prepared and submitted by the governor of the chu to the members of the chuhoe, who will then make the decisions. The members of chuhoe review the proposal and add or remove necessary provi-

29 After the failure of the Local Tax Regulations in 1907, the Japanese Residency-General of Korea tried to avoid the term “local tax” when enacting the Local Expenditure Law in 1909. The reason was that “using the term local tax could aggravate the people as if a new tax is being imposed on them.” Hansŏngbu samugwan kŭp kak to sŏgigwanhoeŭ yorok (Records of the meetings of Seoul governor and provincial secretaries). Re-cited from Kim T’aeng, 1991, “1894–1910 nyŏn chi-bang seje,” 135.
sions. However, any changes can be made only after majority consent of the members of chuhoe, assuring that a shortage of expenses does not occur...30

Hyang taxes are levied for the administrative management of each hyang...The amount of taxes should be more than one tenth and no more than one twentieth of Kun taxes. In special circumstances, members of hyanghoe may decide without bias to propose a larger budget, but it cannot be implemented without the authorization of the ministers of internal affairs and finance. Also, the budget for ordinary tax revenue and expenditure need to be determined by the hyanghoe and authorized by kun’gam (county magistrates)...31

Chuhoe was given the authority to elect members of the assembly through elections, deliberate and adjust the annual budget proposal prepared by the state governor, and set the amount of tax to be levied. Less information is available on hyanghoe, but hyanghoe had the authority to deliberate on the budget of ordinary tax revenues and expenditures.

In the Deliberative Council’s concept of hyanghoe from 1894, only the authority to impose taxes was assigned to myŏnhoe, but Yu’s “Semubu” assigned the superior authority to deliberate on the budget to chuhoe and hyanghoe. This idea of guaranteeing the right to deliberate on the budget, which contributed to the development of modern parliamentary system, to chuhoe and hyanghoe was groundbreaking at the time. It was a measure to not only eliminate exploitation and similar practices carried out by local magistrates and the isŏ class in the process of tax collection but also to fundamentally resolve the medieval governing system.

31 Ibid., 51.
Yu Kilchun’s Concept of Reform of the Tax System in the Korean Empire

**Tax Collection System Reform**

Yu Kilchun also devised a different concept for the imposition and collection of taxes. The Deliberative Council assigned the right to impose taxes to *hyanghoe* to eliminate local magistrates and the *išō* class from the process of taxation, but in “Semubu” Yu assigned this right to *hyanggam* (district superintendent).

Since tax source review and taxable amounts are determined in the budget plan, *hyanghoe* is solely responsible for gathering and collecting taxes. However, reviewing tax information is not possible without *hyanghoe*. As for the land tax, real estate ledgers are kept at the *hyang*-level; for business tax, the register of operating businesses are also kept at the *hyang*-level; income tax and other fundamental information for taxes are basically kept at the *hyang*-level. Therefore, it is impossible not to consider *hyang* as the base unit of local government administration...

The government ordinance to assign *kun’gam* the responsibility to collect taxes by themselves all year long cannot be done in reality. Therefore, currently, a large number of the members of the *išō* class and military officers are dispatched to *hyang* and *ri* and cause disturbances. Therefore, in this system, *hyanggam* and *hyangsegam* (district tax officers) are assigned to the duties of managing taxes of one *hyang* each and carry out the order of superior authorities. This creates three benefits. The first is the elimination of evil practices, such as tax imposition without cause, omission of taxpayers, double taxation, deception, and tax evasion. Second, it can get rid of the evil practices of the *išō* class, military officers, and local magistrates, who inculpated innocent people and robbed them of their properties. Third, *hyanggam* and *hyangsegam* are constantly aware

---

32 Ibid., 7–8.
of the circumstances in the *hyang*. Therefore, they can collect taxes within a certain period after figuring out the economic situation of the *hyang*. This would help reduce the number of people who postpone or fail to pay taxes...33

*Hyanggam* were government officials who were in charge of *hyang*, an administrative divisional unit with governmental authority, with the change of the administrative divisional system into the *chu-kun-hyang-ri* system. With the assembly given the right to deliberate on the budget, all other administrative activities, such as tax source review, taxation, tax collection and payment, were assigned to officials at the *hyang*-level. Yu believed that this would eliminate evil practices carried out by the *iso* class and also reduce late or delayed tax payments as *hyanggam* would have a better understanding of the territory under his jurisdiction than *kun’gam* (county magistrate), who were in charge of overseeing a higher-level and bigger region.

Yun conceived and proposed a relatively specific process of taxation, tax collection and payment through *hyang*, which can be summarized as follows:

1. As for national taxes, the head of a (*kun*) tax office delivers the list of taxes, which contains a specific tax amount for each category, to the *hyanggam*.
   (For *chu* taxes, *kun’gam* delivers the list of taxes to *hyanggam*.)
2. The *hyanggam* reviews the content of the list of taxes and compares it with the register of land taxes and register of operating businesses. When there are differences, the *hyanggam* compares the list of taxes with sources from city tax offices and county offices to correct the differences.
3. The *hyanggam* records the content of the list of taxes accordingly: land tax information should be recorded in the periodical land tax

ledger, and business tax should be recorded in the periodical business tax ledger.

4. The *hyanggam* issues a tax invoice, which contains the tax type and amount to be paid, to the residents (taxpayers) of *hyang*.

5. The taxpayer pays the taxes to the district tax office by the due date.

6. The tax officer notifies the *hyanggam* of the receipt of tax payments.

7. The *hyanggam* records the date of the receipt of tax payments in the periodical ledger, stamps half a stamp each on the paid tax amount recorded in the periodical ledger and the tax invoice. The *hyanggam* also stamps a seal in the center of the tax invoice and returns the tax payment register to the tax officer.

8. The tax officer also stamps a seal on the periodical tax ledger, halves the tax invoice, keeps one half in the district tax office and issues the other half to the taxpayer.

Tax was to be handled at the *hyang* level once the list of taxes was delivered from *kun* to *hyang*. And the government office at the *hyang* level was to be in charge of managing the tax roll, which lists the types of taxes and can be used as a resource for determining tax amounts aside from the list of taxes, periodical ledgers by category of taxation, which contains the tax amounts and tax payers at the *hyang* level, and the tax invoice by category of taxation, which is issued to the taxpayers. The officials in charge of taxation at the *hyang* level were *hyanggam* and *hyangsegam*, and all taxes were to be paid at the district tax office. This shows that Yu Kilchun thought to work on specializing tax work by establishing official positions and institutions for the handling of taxes and storing of related documents at the *hyang* level.

Under this structure, the authority of counties in tax related matters—tax source review, taxation, and tax collection—was taken away from the *kun* and given to the *hyang*. In this way, Yu’s concept of the tax system in “Semubu” was a measure to eliminate the local magistrates and the *isǒ* class, which had caused problems of exploitation of the taxpayers, in the process of tax collection.
Then, would there be no possibility of such exploitation cause by local magistrates and the isŏ class recurring at the hyang level? Considering that government officials would be able to scrutinize the local people's situations since the hyang was a smaller governing unit than the kun, and that excessive taxation can be prevented in advance by the local assemblies which had the power to deliberate the budgets and fix the tax amounts, there was a low possibility of such exploitation happening in the new system. Moreover, as local taxes were to be established solely to cover the expenses of regional governments, the possibility of imposing exorbitant tax was definitely lower than before.

In sum, Yu Kilchun designed a tax system, in which tax administration was conducted at the hyang level, a sub-unit of kun. In this aspect, his concept of the reorganization of administrative divisions was ultimately focused on changing myŏn, a non-governing administrative unit, to hyang, a governing administrative unit. And his argument for the establishment of local taxes was also centered on stabilizing the foundation of the operation of hyang by providing independent finances to cover government expenditures. On such a basis, Yu wanted the hyang to take responsibility for tax service and administration to conduct close and detailed tax collection activities. This was a concept that could secure stable national finances and reduce the authority of the local magistrates and the isŏ class, who had been dispersed throughout the kun.
Yu Kilchun’s Concept of Reform of the Tax System in the Korean Empire

Figure 5. An Example of the “List of Taxes”

34 A “List of Taxes” containing information on land tax, a type of national tax. The head of the kun tax office issues a “List of Taxes” containing records of land taxes that a hyang must pay to hyanggam. Then hyanggam compares the List of Taxes to the hyang’s register of land taxes to make corrections and adjustments. Based on the amount of taxes listed in the tax statement, hyanggam issues a tax invoice to each taxpayer.
Figure 6. An Example of a “Periodical Ledger”  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ul</th>
<th>Periodical Register</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Period 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cash 35 nyang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 chon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rice paddy tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cash 27 nyang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 chon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residential lot tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cash 15 nyang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7 p'un</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Residential lot tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cash 77 nyang 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chon 7 p'un</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Received November 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cash 40 nyang 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chon 2 chon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Received February 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ryu Sŏnji  
Changhang-ri, T'ong No. 7, House No. 10

Yi Yŏback  
Kasŏng-ri, T'ong No. 2, House No. 6  
Taxpayer Name

35 The “Periodical Ledger” of land tax, a type of national tax. A hyanggam receives land tax statements from the county tax office, checks the amount of taxes that the hyang must pay, and records the amount of land tax that each taxpayer must pay in the periodical ledger. When the taxpayers of a hyang pay their taxes, the hyanggam records the date tax was paid and stamps a seal across the pages of the periodical ledger and the tax invoice. In order to “extend the power of the people,” Yu Kilchun proposed the division of tax payment period into two. The first period for land tax payment is from July 1 to December 30, and the second from January 1 to June 30; the first period for business tax payment is from January 1 to June 30, and the second from July 1 to December 30.
A tax invoice for land tax, a type of national taxes, is issued to each taxpayer by *hyanggam*. When the taxpayer pays the tax, *hyangsegam* stamps his seal on the tax invoice “Pyŏng No. 2” to notify the *hyanggam* of the receipt of tax payment. Hyanggamm then stamps a seal across the “Periodical Ledger” and the tax invoice so that each is marked with half a seal; as well as across the pages of the tax invoice numbered “Pyŏng No. 1” and “Pyŏng No. 2.” The tax invoice is then returned to the *hyangsegam*. Hyangsegam then files the page “Pyŏng No. 1” at the tax office and returns the page “Pyŏng No. 2” to the taxpayer.
Conclusion

“Semubu,” a document housed at the Korea University Museum, is assumed to be a document authored by Yu Kilchun during his exile in Japan during Emperor Kojong’s reign in the Korean Empire. It criticizes the changes in the tax system, in which the authority to impose and collect taxes had been taken away from the local magistrates and the isŏ class during the Kabo Reforms but was once again returned to them.

Specifically, Yu Kilchun devised a concept of tax system reform on the premise of the reorganization of the administrative districts into the chu-kun-hyang-ri system. This idea was similar to the regional system reforms from 1895 and 1896, when provincial-level administrative divisions were reorganized and smaller-level divisions were unified into kun. Yu’s idea of naming the provincial-level administrative divisions “chu,” which was taken from the ancient Chinese system, and renaming kun to hyang was similar to Yu Hyŏngwŏn’s concept of the hyang-ri system, in that both wanted to make myŏn (hyang) a governing administrative unit, placed under direct government control.

To fund the operation of local governments, Yu proposed to create local taxes, chu taxes and hyang taxes, separate from national taxes, and these taxes were to be levied as surtaxes on the national taxes. Yu also hoped to establish chuhoe and hyanghoe, which were regional assemblies with the authority to deliberate on the budget. The authority to review tax sources, levy and collect taxes was given to the hyang, a small unit of administrative division that was to become a new governing unit.

This was a change from the previous system, in which the authority to review tax sources, levy and collect taxes belonged to the kun. By imbuing this authority to the hyang, Yu Kilchun planned to remove local magistrates and the isŏ class from the tax collection process. Yu’s concept of the tax system definitely was designed to reduce exploitation of the taxpayers: the hyang was a smaller unit than the kun and therefore its administration could interact with its residents more closely; a local assembly was to be established to be in charge of deliberating on the budget and
determining the tax amounts; local taxes were also to be instituted as a separate financial source for the operation of hyang-level government offices.

Yu Kilchun’s writings on finances and the tax system that have been explored in previous studies mostly originated from before the Kabo Reforms. In terms of content, these documents mainly proposed land tax reform, and the central government’s tax system reform. Since the “Semubu” discusses the reorganization of administrative divisions and local tax administration, as well as local tax system reform, the discovery of this text is significant, as it expands the range of the reform ideas proposed by Yu Kilchun, and furthermore the Enlightenment Party.
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Yu Kilchun’s Concept of Reform of the Tax System in the Korean Empire

Yu Kilchun in “Semubu (Tax Department)” criticizes the trend of the tax system, in which the authority to impose and collect taxes had been taken away from the local magistrates and the isŏ class (composed of hyangni, local functionaries, and sŏri, petty clerks) during the Kabo Reform was once again returned to them.

Yu Kilchun devised a concept of tax system reform on the premise of the reorganization of the administrative districts into the chu-kun-hyang-ri (state-county-district-village) system. Yu’s idea was to make myŏn (hyang, district) a governing administrative unit, placed under direct government control.

To fund the operation of local governments, Yu proposed to create local taxes, chu taxes and hyang. Tax amounts were to be determined by local assemblies, chuhoe and hyanghoe, which were given the authority to deliberate on budget. The authority to review tax sources, levy and collect taxes was given to hyang, a small unit of administrative division. By imbuing this authority to hyang, Yu Kilchun planned to exclude local magistrates and the isŏ class in the tax collection process.

Since “Semubu” discusses the reorganization of administrative divisions and local tax administration, as well as local tax system reform, the discovery of this text is significant, as it expands the range of the reform ideas proposed by Yu Kilchun, and furthermore the Enlightenment Party.
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대한제국 기유길준의 세제개혁 구상

양진아 (고려대학교 한국사학과 박사과정)

고려대학교 박물관 소장『稅務部』는 유길준이 일본땅에선 대한제국기 고종 재위 기간에 작성한 문서로 추측된다. 갑오개혁기 수령·이서층으로부터 분리되었던 정세업무가 다시 수령과 이서층의 소관으로 복귀한 대한제국기 복고적 세무 양상을 비판하는 성격의 문서이다.

유길준은『稅務部』에서『州·鄉·里制』로의 개편을 전제로 한 세제개혁을 구상하였다. 그 구상은『面(鄕)』을 관치 영역으로 편입하려 한 것이 라는 점에서 유형원의『鄉里制』구상과 유사했다.

국세와는 별도의 지방관청 제원으로 지방세인『州税』와『鄉税』를 설치하고자 하였고, 국세에 부과하는 방식으로 세금을 산출하고자 하였다. 과세액은 예산관의 권한을 부여받은 지방의회『州會』와『鄉會』를 통해 결정하고자 하였다. 세원의 조사와 조세부과·징수·수납의 총괄적 세무 행정권한은 새롭게 관치 행정구역으로 편입된『鄉』에 부여되었다.

이는 기존에郡이 담당한 세원 조사, 조세부과·징수 권한을『鄉』에 부여한 것으로 기존 조세수취과정에서 문제가 되었던 수령·이서층을 완전히 배제하려는 구상이었다.

기존 연구에서 활용해온 유길준의 재정, 세제 관련 저작들은 시기적으로는 갑오개혁 이전, 내용적으로는 토지제도개혁론, 중앙의 조세제도개혁론에 관한 것들이 대부분이었다.『稅務部』에서 지방행정구역과 지방세무의 개편을 비롯한『地方税制개혁』을 기술하여 유길준, 나아가 개화과 개혁 구상의 범위를 확장시키고 있다는 점에서『稅務部』발굴의 의미를 찾을 수 있다.

주제어: 유길준, 세무부(稅務部), 지방세(地方税), 지방자치, 향회(鄉會)